cent), sugars, and water, flavored with methyl salicylate. Bacteriological examination showed that the product was not antiseptic.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that its strength fell below the professed standard under which it was sold, namely, "Germ

Eliminator" and "Prevents germs."

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statements on the label, "Germ-Elim," "Germ Eliminator," and "Prevents germs," were false and misleading. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the following statements on the label, regarding the curative and therapeutic effects of the article, were false and fraudulent: (Bottle) "Stop Pain or Bleeding * * Being an anodyne it stops pain. * * Sores, Boils * * * Skin Diseases * * Eczema and Ulcers * * Cuts * * * Cramps, Indigestion, Stomach or Period Cramps * * Grippe, Catarrh, Sinus Trouble and Hay Fever * * Sore and Bleeding Gums, Relief for Pyorrhea * * * Stops Pain, Heals Quickly * * * Teeth and Gums—
To preserve * * Germ-Elim used liberally on tooth brush instead of
tooth paste * * prevents germs, makes healthy gums. * * * Sore
Throat and Tonsilitis * * Earache * * * Repeat until relieved."

On June 30, 1931, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court

that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

18736. Adulteration and misbranding of ether. U. S. v. 69 Quarter-Pound Cans, et al., of Ether. Default decrees of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. Nos. 26365, 26367. I. S. Nos. 8417, 8420. S. Nos. 4699, 4702.)

Samples of ether from the shipments herein described having been found to contain peroxide, a decomposition product, the Secretary of Agriculture reported the matter to the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

On May 15 and May 18, 1931, the United States attorney filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid libels praying seizure and condemnation of 69 quarter-pound cans and thirteen 1-pound cans of ether, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New Orleans, La, alleging that the article had been shipped by Merck & Co., in part from St. Louis, Mo., on or about January 31, 1931, and in part from New York, N. Y., on or about February 7, 1931, and had been transported from the States of Missouri and New York, respectively, into the State of Louisiana, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act. A portion of the article was labeled in part: "Ether U. S. P." The remainder of the article was labeled in part: "Ether for Anesthesia U.S. P."

It was alleged in the libels that the article was adulterated in that it was sold under a name recognized in the United States Pharmacopoeia, and differed from the standard of strength, quality, and purity as determined by tests laid down in the said pharmacopoeia, and its own standard was not stated on the labels. Only with water

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statements on the label. "Ether U. S. P." and "Ether For Anesthesia U. S. P.," as the case might be, were false and misleading.

On June 15, 1931, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgments of condemnation and forfeiture were entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

18737. Misbranding of Hepatona. U. S. v. 36 Bottles of Hepatona. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 26368. I. S. No. 5776. S. No. 4706.)

Examination of a drug product, known as Hepatona, from the shipment herein described having shown that the bottle label and the accompanying circular, bore statements representing that the article possessed curative or therapeutic properties which it did not possess, the Secretary of Agriculture reported the matter to the United States attorney for the District of Porto Rico.

On May 22, 1931, the United States attorney filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 36 bottles of Hepatona, alleging that the article had been shipped by H. K. Mulford & Co., Philadelphia, Pa., on or about February 26, 1931, to San Juan, P. R., and was being sold and offered for sale in Porto Rico by